

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vogama 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/549,445	04/14/2000	Becki Speakman	70015060.02	8917
759	90 07/25/2003			
Jennifer H Hammond		EXAMINER		
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal 4520 Main Street		•	MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R	
Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	·
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

Advisory A	ction
------------	-------

Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)	
	09/549,445	SPEAKMAN, BECKI	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Saleha R. Mohamedulla	1756	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exam	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [b) [The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee hav fee und (2) as s	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if siled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.🛛	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): NONE.
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to: NONE.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10</u> .
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.[Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The claims have been amended to recite new limitations drawn to the mask being affixed along a predetermined distance of the working surface, where the predetermined distance is a portion of the working surface or the entire working surface where the image can be transferred to the predetermined distance without having to reposition the mask, and that images can include both positive and negative images of the mask. These previously unclaimed limitations change the scope of the claims and therefore, raise new issues which require further consideration and/or search.

In addition, Applicant has added six new claims, while only cancelling four finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach or suggest that the mask is affixed along a predetermined distance of the working surface, the predetermined surface being a portion of the working surface or the entire working surface, without repeated application of th mask, and the transferred images can include both positive and negative images of the mask. Applicant's arguments are drawn to previously unclaimed subject matter and therefore, require further consideration and/or search. Also, the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-9 is overcome by the new language.

Also, the newly proposed claim language would overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-9.

SRM

7/21/03

MARK F. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700